

ELECTRICALLY-CHARGED PARTICLE ENERGY ANALYSERSFIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 This invention relates to charged particle energy analysers, particularly, though not exclusively, charged particle energy analysers having the capability to analyse simultaneously charged particles having a wide range of energies.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10 In charged particle optical systems various devices are available for analysing the spectrum of energies of beams of charged particles and these devices have been comprehensively described in various works on the subject of charged particle optics; see for example, "Principles of Electron Optics" by P.H. Hawkes and E. Kasper
15 (Academic Press, New York) 1989, and a paper by D. Roy and D. Tremblay, Rep Prog Phys. 53, 1621 (1990). In many applications, such as Auger electron spectroscopy of surfaces, the range of energies of interest in a single spectrum can cover more than an order of magnitude. The conventional way of obtaining such a spectrum has been to scan through the energy range using a single detector. A faster
20 technique is to use a multidetector or series of detectors to cover an extended range of energies and then to scan the complete range of the spectrum either continuously or in steps. It seems that in all the known electrostatic charged particle energy

analysers, with the exception of the hyperbolic field analyser, the range of energies that can be analysed at any one time is small, the ratio of the energy range to the mean energy being typically less than 0.1. Therefore, if the stepping method is used the required number of steps is at least of the order of 10.

It is clearly advantageous to be able to analyse the whole energy spectrum simultaneously. The hyperbolic field analyser described by M. Jacka, M. Kirk, M. El Gomati and M. Prutton in Rev. Sci. Instrum, 70, 2282 (1999) is able to do this. However, the hyperbolic field analyser has a substantially planar geometry and so suffers from the drawback that it is only able to analyse charged particles incident over a narrow angular range in azimuth.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

According to a first aspect of the invention there is provided a charged particle energy analyser for analysing charged particles having a range of energies comprising, electrostatic focusing means having a longitudinal axis, a charged particle source for directing charged particles into an electrostatic focusing field generated, in use, by said electrostatic focusing means, and detection means for detecting charged particles focused by said electrostatic focusing means, wherein said electrostatic focusing field is defined by equipotentials which extend about said longitudinal axis over a predetermined range in azimuth and charged particles having different energies are

brought to a focus by the electrostatic focusing field at different respective discrete positions.

Charged particle energy analysers according to this aspect of the invention have the capability to analyse simultaneously charged particles having a wide range of energies which are incident over the entire (360°) angular range in azimuth about the longitudinal axis or which are incident over one or more smaller azimuthal ranges. This combination of features enables the energy spectra of charged particles to be measured more rapidly than has been possible using known analysers, and also enables angular information to be obtained.

Charged particle energy analysers according to the invention may also be used in a second-order focusing mode whereby charged particles having a relatively narrow range of energies, but incident of a relatively wide angular range in elevation relative to the longitudinal axis can be focused.

According to another aspect of the invention there is provided a charged particle energy analyser for analysing charged particles comprising, electrostatic focusing means having a longitudinal axis, a charged particle source for directing charged particles into an electrostatic focusing field generated, in use, by said electrostatic focusing means, and detection means for detecting charged particles focused by said electrostatic focusing means, wherein said electrostatic focusing means is defined by

equipotentials which extend about said longitudinal axis over a predetermined range in azimuth and said charged particle source directs said charged particles into said electrostatic focusing field over a predetermined angular range in elevation relative to said longitudinal axis, said predetermined angular range in elevation and/or the axial position of the charged particle source and/or the axial position of the electrostatic focusing field being set or adjustable for second-order focusing of charged particles.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Embodiments of the invention are now described, by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying drawings, of which:

Figure 1 is a schematic, longitudinal sectional view of a first embodiment of a charged particle energy analyser according to the invention,

Figure 2 is an enlarged view of a part of the charged particle energy analyser of Figure 1 showing the contours of equipotentials in the range from 0 to -3200V, in steps of 200V,

Figure 3 is a schematic, longitudinal sectional view of a second embodiment of a charged particle energy analyser according to the invention,

Figure 4 is a schematic, longitudinal sectional view of a third embodiment of a charged particle energy analyser according to the invention operating in a second-order, axis-to-surface focusing mode, and

5 Figure 5 is a schematic, longitudinal sectional view of a fourth embodiment of a charged particle energy analyser according to the invention operating in a second-order, axis-to-axis focusing mode,

10 Figure 6 is a schematic longitudinal sectional view of a fifth embodiment of a charged particle energy analyser according to the invention,

Figure 7 is an enlarged view of part of the charged particle energy analyser of Figure 6 showing the contours of equipotentials in the range from -50 to -950V in steps of 50V,

15 Figure 8 is a schematic longitudinal sectional view of a sixth embodiment of a charged particle energy analyser according to the invention,

20 Figure 9 is an enlarged view of part of the charged particle energy analyser of Figure 8 showing the contours of equipotentials in the range from -50V to -800V in steps of 50V, and

Figure 10 is a schematic longitudinal sectional view of a seventh embodiment of a charged particle energy analyser according to the invention operating in a second-order focusing mode,

Figure 11a shows a transverse cross-sectional view through an eighth embodiment of a charged particle energy analyser according to the invention, and

Figure 11b shows the contours of a number of equipotentials on a side wall of the analyser of Figure 11a.

DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

In the following description, the polarities of the applied potentials are chosen for the analysis of negatively-charged particles, and in the embodiments of Figures 1 to 10 the charged particles are assumed to be electrons. It will, of course, be appreciated that positively-charged particles may be analysed by reversing the polarities of the applied potentials.

Referring now to Figures 1 and 2 of the drawings, the charged particle energy analyser has cylindrical symmetry about a longitudinal axis z-z. The analyser comprises a localised source of electrons 1 situated on that axis, an inner cylinder 2 of radius R_1 at ground potential, an outer cylinder 3 of radius $R_2 = 4R_1$ whose ends have axial

coordinates $z = -3R_1$ and $15R_1$ to which is applied a potential drop that varies linearly from +1039.7V to -5198.6V at the left- and right-hand ends respectively, a first annular end disc 4 to which is applied a potential drop that varies from +1039.7V at its outer edge to the ground potential at its inner edge, a second annular disc 5 to which is applied a potential drop that varies from -5198.6V at its outer edge to the ground potential at its inner edge, and a detector 6 of electrons that forms a part of the outer surface of the inner cylinder 2 or conforms to a part of that surface. Figure 1 also shows some representative curved trajectories 7 of electrons that originate at the localised source 1 and are focused onto the detector 6 by the electrostatic focusing field created between the inner and outer cylinders 2,3. In this illustration, electrons having the initial energies 125,200,300,500,800,1250,2000 and 3000eV are focused at successive axial positions z_1, z_2, \dots, z_8 in the longitudinal direction.

In this example, the potentials applied to cylinders 2,3 are given by equation (1) below, where $W = 346.57V (=250\ln 4)$. The potentials applied to the annular end discs 4,5 are also given by equation (1) and are non-linear. It can be seen from equation 1 that the equipotentials between cylinders 2,3 vary monotonically (in this case linearly) in the longitudinal direction and logarithmically in the radial direction.

In practice, the annular end discs 4,5 may be made from a material of high electrical resistivity. Alternatively, instead of using a disc, the required potential drop could be implemented using a plurality of concentric, annular rings each maintained at a

different uniform potential. The axial position of source 1 is $z_s = 1.85R_1$, the medial elevational launch angle $\bar{\theta}_e$ of the electron beam B is 0.472rad (27.04°) relative to the longitudinal axis z-z and the half-angle of the beam is 0.016rad (0.91°). The angular extent in elevation of the beam may be controlled by an aperture or apertures provided in a mask (not shown) located between the source 1 and the inner cylinder 2. The potential of the inner cylinder 2 is 0V and, in this embodiment, the beam is assumed to pass through a fine mesh or grid that covers the entrance region of the inner cylinder 2.

The properties of the analyser are of course unchanged if the applied potentials and the energies are scaled linearly together.

As already described, the potential applied to the outer cylinder 3 varies linearly from +1039.7V at the left hand end to -5198.6V at the right hand end. This linear variation in potential can be implemented by means of a cylinder 3 made from a material of high resistivity or, alternatively, the required potential may be simulated by means of a plurality of electrically conductive loops or rings, each of which is maintained at a different uniform potential. The inner cylinder 2 which is maintained at ground potential may be made from electrically conductive material. The distribution of potential in the region between cylinders 2,3 is uniform as a function of azimuthal angle about the longitudinal axis z-z. The potential $\phi(r,z)$ can be expressed in terms of the radial and axial coordinates (r,z) by the expression:

$$\phi(r,z) = -Wz \ln r / -\ln R_2, \quad (1)$$

where z , r and R_2 are all expressed in units of R_1 .

5 Because an analytical solution to the equations of motion in the electrostatic field appear not to exist, the accurate CPO-2D program available on web site <http://cpo.ph.man.uk> has been used to solve Laplace's equation for various practical systems and to integrate the equations of motion to obtain particle trajectories.

10 Referring again to Figures 1 and 2, electrons emanating from source 1 on the longitudinal axis z - z are focused on the surface of the inner cylinder 2 after energy analysis and the electrons are detected there by a curved detector array 6 that conforms to or forms part of the surface of the inner cylinder 2.

15 As will be described in greater detail hereinafter, the electron beam B spans a predetermined angular range in azimuth about the longitudinal axis z - z . The angular range may be the entire (360°) azimuthal range or one or more smaller azimuthal ranges, and detector 6 may be so located and configured as to detect for electrons in one or more of these angular ranges. Detector 6 may take the form of a microchannel
20 array detector or a microsphere plate detector or a position-sensitive resistive plate detector or any other suitable form of detector.

In a particular embodiment, the charged particle source 1 comprises a target located

on the longitudinal axis z-z and an irradiation device for directing radiation onto the target to generate charged particles. The irradiation device may, for example, be an electron gun and may be located within the inner cylinder 2.

5 In practice, the trajectories of charged particles having the same energy but different elevational angles may be subject to dispersion caused by their exposure to slightly differing field intensities in the region between the inner and outer cylinders 2,3, and this reduces the sharpness of the focused image. However, the axial position z_s of the source 1 and the medial, elevational launch angle $\bar{\theta}_s$ of the charged particle beam can
10 be optimised to minimise the dispersive effect of the electrostatic field over the entire energy range of interest.

The axial position z_i of the image formed by charged particles of energy E_i can be expressed as:

$$z_i = c_0 + c_2(\theta_s - \theta_0)^2 \dots, \quad (2)$$

15 where c_0 is the axial position of the image if there is no dispersion, c_2 is a constant, θ_0 is the elevational launch angle needed to bring the charged particles to a focus at the axial position c_0 when dispersion is present and θ_s is the launch angle of the trajectory
20 of a charged particle within the beam.

The optimal condition exists when θ_0 is constant over the entire energy range of interest and in the embodiment described with reference to Figure 1 this condition is

almost satisfied when z_s is set at $-1.85R_1$. Table 1 lists the resultant values of θ_0 and z_i obtained using this setting for eight different energies, namely 125eV, 200eV, 300eV, 500eV, 800eV, 1250eV, 2000eV and 3000eV. A suitable medial launch angle $\bar{\theta}_i$ is then 0.472rad (27.04°).

5

As can be seen from this Table, the values of θ_0 are approximately constant over the whole energy range, the slight inconstancy of θ_0 being less than the typical range of angles accepted from a source.

10

A plot of exemplary trajectories is shown in Figure 1, and these same trajectories are shown in Figure 2 on an enlarged scale together with the contours of selected equipotentials.

15

Table 1 also includes values of the relative energy dispersion Edz_i/dE (normalised with respect to R_1) and a set of energy resolutions ΔE (normalised with respect to W), and these parameters are now defined.

It will be apparent from equation 2 above that the spread Δz_i in the axial position of an image at each energy E_i is given by the expression:

20

$$\Delta z_i = |c_2|(\Delta\theta_{\max})^2 \quad (3)$$

where $\Delta\theta_{\max}$ is the maximum angular deviation of trajectories (in a given range) from

θ_0 for that energy. This spread in axial position is approximately equivalent to an energy spread ΔE given by the expression:

$$\Delta E = 0.5 \Delta z_i \left/ \frac{dz}{dE} \right. , \quad (4)$$

where the factor 0.5 is used as an approximation to convert the base energy width to the width at half height of a peak. As will be clear from the values of ΔE listed in the last column of Table 1, the useful energy range in this example covers at least a factor of 10.

For the source position z_s that has been used ($-1.85R_1$) θ_0 is stationary (in fact a maximum) when the initial energy E is approximately 1000eV. It might be useful in practice to change the value of E for which θ_0 is stationary by varying z_s . This would give some control over the dependence of ΔE on E . In practice, adjustments of z_s may be facilitated by physically adjusting the axial position of the source 1 or by, in effect, axially translating the electrostatic field relative to the source by changing the axial position at which zero potential is applied to the outer cylinder.

Other parameters could be varied to make θ_0 more constant. In particular the linear variation of the voltage on the outer cylinder could be replaced by a slightly non-linear (but monotonic) variation, the parameters of which would be adjusted to minimise the fluctuations in θ_0 . Alternatively, the shapes of the electrodes could be changed, for example by using conically-shaped electrodes in place of discs and cylinders.

The analyser described with reference to Figures 1 and 2 generates an electrostatic focusing field which is uniform as a function of azimuthal angle about the longitudinal axis. However, this need not necessarily be the case; alternatively, the field may have n-fold rotational symmetry about the longitudinal axis, where n is an integer. Such a field could be generated by replacing the inner cylinder with a tubular member having n-fold symmetry, such as a flat-sided electrode having a polygonal transverse cross-section. This configuration has the advantage that a detector can be readily located on one or more of the flat sides.

In another implementation of the invention, the outer cylinder is replaced by a curved axially symmetric plate to which a (possibly uniform) potential is applied and which is appropriately shaped to create equipotentials which vary monotonically in the longitudinal direction, such as the linearly varying equipotentials generated by the inner and outer cylinders 2,3 of the embodiment described with reference to Figures 1 and 2.

In the embodiment of Figure 1, the inner cylinder 2 has a window or windows by which electrons are admitted to the electrostatic focusing field. The or each window is so dimensioned and shaped as to define a beam having the required angular range in azimuth, and is covered by a fine mesh or grid to help to eliminate edge effects. The mesh could, for example, consist of a square array of holes or could be made from parallel wires extending in the longitudinal z direction that are stretched across the

window. The shielding properties of both these types of mesh are known, as are the defocusing effects that the meshes produce. The defocusing is effectively equivalent to increasing the size of the source.

5 Alternatively, the angular range in azimuth could be defined by an aperture or apertures provided in a mask (not shown) located between the source 1 and the inner cylinder 2.

In some practical applications it might be more convenient to use an open window, 10 having the form of a slot in the azimuthal direction. In another embodiment shown in Figure 3, electrons enter the electrostatic focusing field through an open slot 7' in the inner cylinder 2' extending between the axial coordinates $z = 0.05R_1$ and $0.24R_1$. The outer cylinder 3' has a radius of $3R_1$ (in units of the radius of the inner cylinder) and extends between the axial coordinates $z = 0$ and $z = 10R_1$. A left-hand end is 15 closed by a disc at ground potential. As before, the potentials applied to the outer cylinder and a right-hand end disc are given by equation (1), but where $W = 274.65V$ ($=2501n3$). By application of the above-described analysis based on Equation 2 above, the optimal axial position of the source 1' is found to be $-1.8R_1$ and the optimal medial elevational launch angle $\bar{\theta}_e$ is found to be 0.476rad (27.25°). The results of this 20 analysis are shown in Table 2, and some exemplary trajectories are illustrated in Figure 3, where electrons having the initial energies 125eV, 200eV, 300eV, 800eV, 1250eV and 2000eV are focused at successive axial positions $z_1, z_2 \dots z_6$ in the

longitudinal direction. By comparing the data in Tables 1 and 2 it can be seen that the values of θ_0 vary less when the entrance aperture is open. This form of the analyser is however less suitable when second-order focusing is required, as will be discussed below.

Other positions of the electron source and the image are envisaged. The source and the image may both be located at the surface of the inner cylinder 2 (surface-to-surface focusing) or, alternatively, the source and the image may both be located on the longitudinal axis z-z (axis-to-axis focusing). Alternatively, the source could be located in a field-free region between the longitudinal axis z-z and the inner cylinder 2 and the image could also be located between the longitudinal axis and the inner cylinder 2 or radially outwards of the inner cylinder.

The source of electrons may, in effect, be a virtual source; in this case, the source directs electrons into the electrostatic focusing field from a location or locations offset from the longitudinal axis and includes suitable focusing means, which could be in the form of one or more conical lens, for example, for focusing electrons emitted from a real source (which may be located on-axis) at said location or locations.

Similarly, such focusing means may be used to focus electrons forming an image onto one or more detector spaced apart from the image.

In another mode of operation, charged particle energy analysers according to the invention can be arranged to analyse charged particles in a relatively narrow energy band incident over a relatively wide angular range in elevation.

5 One of the main advantages of a conventional Cylindrical Mirror Analyser (CMA), as described, for example, by J.S. Risley in Rev. Sci. Instrum. 43, 95 (1972) is that it can be operated with second-order focusing. That is, it is possible to find conditions for which the axial position z_i of the focus point has a dependence on the elevational launch angle θ_s of a charged particle of the form

$$z_i = c_0 + c_2(\theta_s - \theta_0)^2 + c_3(\theta_s - \theta_0)^3 + \dots \quad (5)$$

10 where the second-order term is zero. The absence of the usual quadratic term implies that a wide range of angles θ_s can be accepted for a given energy resolution of the analyser, provided that the coefficient c_3 is not too large.

Figure 4 shows an embodiment of a charged particle energy analyser according to the invention operating in this second-order focusing mode.

20 Here, the dimensions of the analyser and the applied voltages are exactly the same as for the analyser described with reference to Figure 3, but differs in that a fine mesh is placed across the entrance window in the inner cylinder 2' and in that the axial

position z_s of the source 1' is $2R_1$. It is found by analysis that the quadratic term in Equation 5 becomes zero when $E = 854\text{eV}$ and when the medial launch angle $\bar{\theta}_l = 0.622\text{rad}$ (35.6°). In this embodiment, the half angle of the beam is 0.05rad (2.86°).

5 In fact, a continuous spectrum of such conditions exists. For a given source position z_s (within some range) it is possible to find values of E and $\bar{\theta}_l$ that give second-order axis-to-surface focusing. Some results are shown in Table 3.

10 Second-order focusing may also be performed in the axis-to-axis mode, and this is shown in Figure 5. The dimensions of the analyser and the applied voltages are exactly the same as the analyser described with reference to Figure 4, but differs therefrom in that the axial position z_s of the source is $-R_1$. Again, a fine mesh is placed across the entrance window in the inner cylinder 2'. It is found by analysis that the quadratic term in Equation 5 becomes zero when $E = 1345.5\text{eV}$ and the medial
15 elevational launch angle $\bar{\theta}_l$ of the beam is 0.444rad (25.46°). In this embodiment, the half angle of the beam is 0.05rad (2.86°). Again a continuous spectrum of such conditions exists, as shown in Table 4.

20 As with the conventional CMA, a continuous spectrum of other modes of operation is possible and it is envisaged that second-order focusing might also be achievable when the entrance window is open. It is also possible to find conditions for which the energy resolution is optimised for a particular narrow range of energies.

Figure 6 of the drawings shows another embodiment of a charged particle energy analyser according to the invention. As before, the polarities of the applied potentials are chosen for the analysis of negatively-charged particles, assumed to be electrons in this embodiment. However, positively-charged particles may be analysed by reversing the polarities of the applied potentials.

In contrast to the embodiments described with reference to Figures 1 to 3, the charged particle analyser of Figure 6 is effective to focus electrons having different energies E_i at different respective radial positions r_i in a plane transverse to the longitudinal axis z-z. This arrangement has the advantage that a flat detector, which may be disc-shaped, can be used.

The analyser of Figure 6 has substantially the same geometrical configuration as the analysers described with reference to Figures 1 to 3, comprising inner and outer cylinders 2",3" and a pair of annular end discs 4",5". As before, the potential $\phi(R_2,z)$ applied to the outer cylinder 3", where R_2 is the radius of the outer cylinder, varies linearly as a function of the axial coordinate z according to the expression:

$$\phi(R_2,z) = -Wz,$$

where z is expressed in units of the radius R_1 of inner cylinder 2". As before, the distribution of potential $\phi(r,z)$ between the cylinders 2",3" can be expressed in terms of the radial and axial coordinate (r,z) by equation 1 above from which it can be seen

that the equipotentials between cylinders 2",3" vary monotonically (in this case linearly) in the longitudinal direction and logarithmically in the radial direction. Again, the distribution of potential $\phi(r,z)$ is uniform as a function of azimuthal angle about the longitudinal axis z-z.

5

In the case of the analysers described with reference to Figures 1 to 3, the medial elevational launch angle $\bar{\theta}_e$ of the electron beam B relative to the longitudinal axis z-z is typically around 25°. However, in the case of the analyser of Figure 6, the medial elevational launch angle $\bar{\theta}_e$ is much larger, and is typically around 60°, although other angles in the range 50° to 70° say could be used.

10

As shown in Figure 6, an electron beam B which enters the electrostatic focusing field at a relatively large medial elevational launch angle $\bar{\theta}_e$ is deflected away from the longitudinal axis z-z and, in this embodiment, is brought to a focus in the plane of the left-hand end disc 4", where one or more flat detectors can be positioned.

15

The electron beam B may span a predetermined angular range in azimuth around the longitudinal axis z-z, which may be the entire (360°) azimuthal range or one or more smaller azimuthal range. As before, the required azimuthal range may be defined by one or more suitably dimensioned and shaped window in the inner cylinder 2" and/or end disc 4" or by a mask or masks located between the source and the inner cylinder.

20

For a given energy, electrons are brought to a focus on a respective arc or arcs in the focal plane and in the case of a beam spanning the entire azimuthal range the electrons are brought to a focus on a circle. One or more suitable detectors would be so positioned and configured as to detect for focused electrons in the or each azimuthal range.

In this embodiment, the radius R_2 of the outer cylinder 3" is $10R_1$ and the ends of the inner and outer cylinders have the axial coordinates $z=0$ and $z=3R_1$. The value of W in equations 1 and 6 above is set at 333.3 V and the potential applied to the inner cylinder 2" and to the left-hand end disc 4" is set at 0V, whereas the potential applied to the outer cylinder 3" varies linearly from 0V at the left-hand end to -1000V at the right-hand end.

In this embodiment, the electron beam is produced by a localised electron source 1" positioned on the longitudinal axis $z-z$ in a field-free region at the axial position $z_s = -0.6R_1$.

Figure 6 shows some representative curved trajectories of electrons that are focused in the transverse plane of the left-hand end disc 4". In this illustration, electrons having initial energies 40,80,160,320 and 640 eV are all approximately focused at successive radial positions r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5 in the transverse focal plane. In this embodiment, the medial elevational launch angle of the electron beam B is 61.8° and

the half-angle of the beam is 3.8° , and the beam enters the electrostatic focusing field where the inner cylinder 2" and the left-hand end disc 4" meet via a window in the form of an electrically conductive grid or mesh.

5 As already described, the potential applied to the outer cylinder 3" varies linearly from 0V at the left hand end to -1000V at the right hand end. This linear variation in potential can be implemented by means of a cylinder 3" made from a material of high electrical resistivity across which the potential drop is applied. Alternatively, the required potential may be simulated by means of a plurality of electrically conductive
10 loops or rings, each of which is maintained at a different uniform potential. The inner cylinder 2" which is maintained at ground potential could be made from electrically conductive material.

The non-uniform potential on the right-hand disc 5" may be created by applying a
15 potential drop across a disc made from a material of high electrical resistivity. Alternatively, instead of using a disc the required variation of potential could be simulated using a plurality of concentric rings each maintained at different uniform potential. In another alternative approach the required potential may be simulated in piece-wise fashion using the afore-mentioned CPO-2D program by applying the
20 required potential at a number (e.g. 30) positions on the disc that are equally spaced radially and arranging for the potential to vary linearly between neighbouring positions.

Figure 7 shows the trajectories of Figure 6 on an enlarged scale and with a different aspect ratio, and also shows the contours of equipotentials in the range -50V to -950V, in steps of 50V.

5 It is apparent from Figure 7 that lower energy electrons are brought to a focus slightly in front of a detector located in the plane of the left-hand end disc 4" whereas higher energy electrons are brought to a focus slightly behind the detector.

10 It has been found that the axial position z_s of the source does not have any significant effect upon the quality of the focus obtained. However, significant improvements in the quality of the focus can be achieved by slightly modifying the potential distribution $\phi(r,z)$ defined by equation 1 above.

15 This can be accomplished empirically by optimising the potentials applied at selected positions on the inner and outer cylinders 2",3" and on the right-hand end disc 5" while maintaining the left-hand end disc 4" at 0V, and arranging for the potential between these selected positions to vary linearly as a function of axial and radial distance respectively.

20 In this particular example, the selected positions on the right-hand end disc 5" have the radial coordinates $r=1,3,6$ and 9 and the selected positions on the inner and outer cylinders 2",3" have the axial coordinates $z=0,1.5$ and 3, where these coordinates are

expressed in units of R_1 .

The radial and axial coordinates of the selected positions are summarised in the first and second rows respectively of Table 5 and the respective voltages $V_1, V_2 \dots V_7$ applied at each selected position are shown in the third row of the table. These voltages are also shown in Figure 6.

The potential V_1 at the left-hand end of each cylinder is 0V and it is found to be desirable to fix the potential V_3 at the right-hand end of the outer cylinder 3", at - 1000V in this example.

The remaining five potentials V_2, V_4, V_5, V_6 and V_7 are treated as variables and are automatically adjusted using the aforementioned CPO-2D program in the "automatic free-focus iteration" mode to optimize (i.e. minimise) the sizes of the focal points in the plane of the detector, while allowing the radial positions of the focal points to change.

The fourth row in Table 5 shows the voltage values that are derived from equation 1 above, whereas the fifth row in the table shows the modified values optimised by empirical adjustment.

It will be appreciated that this optimisation procedure could also be applied to the

analysers described with reference to Figures 1 to 5.

Figure 8 shows the electron trajectories obtained using the optimised voltage values.

In this illustration the electrons have the initial energies 40, 80, 160, and 320eV which

5 form a geometric progression with a multiplying factor of 2 and cover an energy

range of 1:8. In this case the medial elevational launch angle $\bar{\theta}_l$ is 60.8° and the half

angle the beam is 2.05°. As before, the optimum axial position of the source is $z_s = -$

0.6R₁.

10 Figure 9 shows the trajectories of Figure 8 on an enlarged scale and with a different

aspect ratio, and also shows the contours of equipotentials in the range -50V to -800V

in steps of 50V.

A comparison of Figures 7 and 9 clearly shows that much smaller focal spot sizes are

15 attained using the empirically adjusted voltage values. Also, the contours of the

equipotentials have a somewhat different shape.

Further improvements to the quality of the focus may be made by optimising a larger

number of voltages. Alternatively, or additionally, improvements may be made using

20 different electrode shapes; for example, the outer cylinder 3" could be replaced by an

appropriately shaped curved, axially symmetric plate to which a (possibly uniform)

potential is applied. Such a plate could also be used to generate a potential

distribution $\phi(z,r)$ of the form defined by equation 1.

Alternatively, instead of modifying the potential distribution $\phi(z,r)$, the detector may be suitably shaped and positioned to conform to the surface at which the electrons are focused. Furthermore, the electrons need not be focused in the plane of the end disc, but could be focused on some other transversely extending surface which could be in a field free region beyond the end disc and need not necessarily be flat; the surface could, for example, have a conical shape. The above-described optimisation procedure could be used to improve the quality of the focus at a desired surface.

By analogy to equation 2 above, the radial position r_i at which the trajectory of an electron of energy E_i intersects the focal plane can be expressed as:

$$r_i = c_0 + c_2(\theta_i - \theta_0)^2 + \dots$$

where c_0 and c_2 are coefficients which are a function of energy, θ_i is the elevational launch angle of an electron in the beam and θ_0 is the elevational launch angle needed to bring the electron to a focus when energy dispersion is present. For values of θ_i near to θ_0 a first-order focus exists at $r_i = c_0$.

Table 6 summarises the values of θ_0 , r_i and c_2 obtained using the analyser of Figure 8 for electrons having the energies 56.6, 80, 113.1, 160, 226.3, 320, 452.5 and 640 eV and for a source having the axial position $z_s = -0.6R_1$. Also shown in Table 6 are

computed values of relative energy dispersion $E dr/dE$ and the dimensionless figure of merit g_2 , given by the expression:

$$g_2 = c_2^{-1} E dr/dE.$$

The values of r_i , c_2 and $E dr/dE$ in this table are expressed in units of R_1 .

The optimum condition exists when θ_o is constant over the entire energy range and it can be seen from the values of θ_o listed in Table 6 that this condition is almost satisfied. The variation in the values of θ_o is less than the typical half angle of the beam, and this variation is even smaller over a narrower energy range. The variation is particularly small (0.2°) in the energy range from approximately 100eV to 450eV.

As shown in Table 6, the values of θ_o decrease monotonically as energy E increases. This behaviour can be altered by changing the axial position of the source. For example, a shallow minimum in θ_o exists when the axial source position $z_s = -0.7R_1$ (i.e. $\theta_o = 1.081, 1.069$, and 1.071 at energies $E = 80, 226$ and 640 eV respectively).

However, in this case, the coefficient c_2 is too small to allow a maximum in r_i at energies $E < 80$ eV, but there is approximate second-order focusing at these energy values and so the focal spot size is still relatively small. Therefore, there may be some benefit in adjusting the source position, but in practice the optimum position will depend on the application to which the analyser is being put.

For a source position $z_s = -0.6R_1$, the values of r_i can be approximately parametrized by the expression:

$$\ln r_i = a + b \ln E + c (\ln E)^2, \quad ,$$

where the constants a, b and c are 0.02353, 0.06433 and 0.03643 respectively.

5 The charged particle energy analysers described with reference to Figures 6 to 9 can also operate in the second order focusing mode whereby a relatively narrow band of energies can be analysed with improved energy resolution.

10 Second order focusing occurs when the quadratic term in equation 7 above is zero, and in this condition the radial position r_i at which the trajectory of an electron intersects the focal plane can be expressed as:

$$r_i = c_0 + c_3 (\theta_s - \theta_0)^3 + \dots, \quad ,$$

where the coefficients c_0 and c_3 depend on energy. In this situation, the angular range in elevation that can be accepted is larger for a given energy resolution.

15 Figure 10 shows an analyser operating in the second-order focusing mode. The geometrical configuration of the analyser and the applied potentials are exactly as described with reference to Figure 8; however, the axial position of the source is set at $z_s = -0.8R_1$. It is found that the quadratic term becomes zero, and second-order

5

10

15

20

Initially, the first order, wide-energy focusing mode would be used to produce a

relatively wide energy spectrum of the charged particles in the beam, and the applied potentials would then be scaled appropriately to produce high-resolution, second-order focusing in a selected narrow energy range in the spectrum.

As will be clear from Table 7, second order focusing occurs at relatively small values of r_i . Accordingly, when the first and second order modes of operation are used in combination the inner radial part of the analyser would be used predominantly for second order focusing whereas the outer parts of the detector would only be used for wide-energy, first-order focusing as shown in Figures 6 and 8.

In the embodiments described with reference to Figures 1 to 10, the inner and outer field defining elements extend over the entire (360°) angular range in azimuth around the longitudinal axis z-z.

However, alternatively, the inner and outer field defining elements may extend over a smaller azimuthal range. An example of this is shown in Figure 11a. This figure shows a transverse cross-sectional view through inner and outer field defining elements 2''',3''' in the form of cylindrical segments subtending an angle ψ at the longitudinal axis, which in this example is about 60°. The arcuate end edges of the cylindrical segments are joined by end walls in the form of annular sectors and the longitudinally extending side edges of the cylindrical segments are joined by flat side walls S_1, S_2 .

The electrostatic focusing field created within this structure may have exactly the same form as that described with reference to Figures 1 to 10 provided the potential distribution at the side walls is correct (as defined by Equation 1 above, for example). The required potential distribution can be achieved in a variety of different ways. For example, the side walls may be made from a material of high electrical resistivity and the required potentials are applied at different points along the edges of the side walls.

Alternatively, the side walls may be made from electrically insulating material on the surface of which is deposited a series of electrically conductive lines or strips which are shaped to conform to the contours of the equipotentials intersecting the side walls, and to each of which is applied the required potential. This is illustrated in Figure 11b.

In a yet further alternative approach, instead of using an electrically insulating substrate the electrically conductive lines or strips may be self-supporting. It will be appreciated that the field defining elements described with reference to any of Figures 1 to 10 can be modified for use over a relatively narrow angular range in azimuth in the manner described with reference to Figure 11, for example.

Table 1

E	θ_0	Z_i/R_1	Edz_i/dE	ΔE
125	0.4674	1.455	0.855	0.22

*relation
empty disc*

empty resistor

	E	θ_0	Z_i/R_i	Edz_i/dE	ΔE
	200	0.4691	1.876	1.102	0.23
	300	0.4703	2.349	1.380	0.23
	500	0.4715	3.140	1.845	0.24
	800	0.4722	4.136	2.430	0.37
5	1250	0.4719	5.416	3.182	0.51
	2000	0.4704	7.262	4.267	1.41
	3000	0.4679	9.429	5.540	4.34

Table 2

	E	θ_0	z_i/R_i	Edz_i/dE
10	125	0.4760	1.46	0.780
	200	0.4758	1.882	1.028
	300	0.4762	2.354	1.318
	500	0.4766	3.146	1.812
15	800	0.4766	4.142	2.460
	1250	0.4758	5.422	3.329
	2000	0.4740	7.267	4.622

Table 3

	z_i/R_i	E	θ_0	z_i/R_i
20	-2	43.5	0.435	1.136
	-1.5	123	0.471	1.483
	-1	201	0.519	2.001
	0	410	0.574	3.144
	1	630	0.606	4.230

z_s/R_1	E	θ_0	z_t/R_1
2	854	0.622	5.287
3	1082	0.635	6.328
4	1315	0.642	7.367

5

Table 4

z_s/R_1	E	θ_0	z_t/R_1
-2.5	1206	0.359	5.886
-2.0	1223	0.386	5.988
-1.0	1356	0.441	6.448
0.0	1556	0.494	7.102
1.0	1763	0.538	7.807
2.0	2009	0.573	8.630
3.0	2281	0.598	9.471
5.0	2862	0.631	11.35

10

15

Table 5

r	1	10	10	10	6	3	1	1
z	0	0	1.5	3	3	3	3	1.5
V	V_1	V_1	V_2	V_3	V_4	V_5	V_6	V_7
Eqn(2)	0	0	-500	-1000	-778	-477	0	0
Emp	0	0	-291	-1000	-869	-455	69	-31

20

Table 6

E	θ_0	r_1	c_2	Edr_t/dE	g_2
56.6	1.0825	2.403	-5.51	0.861	0.156

	E	θ_o	r_i	c_2	Edr_i/dE	g_2
	80	1.0744	2.731	-7.61	1.048	0.138
	113.1	1.0711	3.134	-10.12	1.281	0.127
	160	1.0700	3.629	-12.92	1.575	0.122
	226.3	1.0698	4.236	-16.15	1.946	0.121
5	320	1.0695	4.985	-19.73	2.416	0.123
	452.5	1.0682	5.919	-23.69	3.018	0.127
	640	1.0653	7.103	-28.49	3.801	0.133

Table 7

	Z_s	E	θ_o	r_i	c_3	Edr_i/dE	g_3
10	-0.6	38.4	1.112	2.173	55.1	0.643	0.012
	-0.7	66.5	1.104	2.657	44.0	0.915	0.021
	-0.8	97.0	1.093	3.106	41.1	1.151	0.028
	-0.9	133.3	1.089	3.571	38.4	1.392	0.036
15	-1.0	172.6	1.087	4.025	38.5	3.178	0.083